Exhibit 1

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	x
	KPM ANALYTICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION,
4	
	Civil Action No.
5	Plaintiff, 21:-10572
6	- v
7	BLUE SUN SCIENTIFIC, LLC, THE INNOVATIVE
	TECHNOLOGIES GROUP & CO., LTD., ARNOLD
8	EILERT, MICHELLE GAJEWSKI, ROBERT GAJEWSKI,
	RACHAEL GLENISTER, GREGORY ISRAELSON, IRVIN
9	LUCAS AND PHILIP OSSOWSKI,
10	Defendants.
11	x
12	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13	30(b)(6) DEPOSITION
14	VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCING
15	OF
16	KPM ANALYTICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION
17	BY AND THROUGH
18	ERIC OLSON
19	Wednesday, July 7, 2021
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported By:
2 4	LINDA J. GREENSTEIN
25	JOB NO. 4695539

```
Page 15
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
         Α.
                As understood at this point, I
    would consider that that's all being
 3
    discovered and understood.
 4
 5
               You characterize the trade
         Ο.
    secrets as including: "KPM Analytics
6
7
    precise datasets, calibration model sets
    and software tools."
8
9
                There's nothing in that
10
    characterization that reflects on the sales
    of the actual NIR spectroscopy machines.
11
12
                Is there anything about the
13
    machines themselves that contain trade
14
    secrets?
15
         Α.
               Could you restate that again,
16
    please?
17
                I'll add context.
         Q.
18
                Blue Sun is selling NIR
19
    spectroscopy machines. They are also
20
    providing services.
21
                Is there anything inherently
22
    unfair about their sales of machines?
23
         Α.
                No, there's none.
24
                And so those machines are
         Q.
25
    primarily hardware, not software.
```

	Page 16
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	Is it fair to say that there is
3	no trade secret embodied in the hardware?
4	MR. MAGEE: Objection.
5	You can answer.
6	A. There are many trade secrets
7	embedded inside of the hardware.
8	Q. Can you be specific and identify
9	them?
10	A. It would be several types of
11	signal processing, electronics, circuit
12	design, data handling techniques. There
13	are protected, patented mechanical designs
14	for the system itself.
15	Q. Okay. And these mechanical
16	designs and circuit designs, are they in
17	the SpectraStar XL?
18	A. Some are in the XL. Some are in
19	XT. It has been a continuation of
20	development of that platform for since
21	its existence.
22	Q. And does KPM sell the XL or the
23	XT to customers?
24	A. KPM sells XTs currently to
25	customers.

	Page 31
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	Q. Have you seen that?
3	A. Can you say that again?
4	Q. Have you seen, personally seen
5	that agreement with Mr. Wilt?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Why didn't you produce it in
8	response to our document requests?
9	MR. MAGEE: Objection.
10	Q. You characterize KPM's trade
11	secrets as including datasets, calibration
12	model sets or software tools in the
13	complaint; is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	(Reporter clarification.)
16	BY MR. CRAIG:
17	Q. Mr. Olson, can you take a look
18	at paragraph 99 of the complaint.
19	A. Okay. I see it.
20	Q. You characterize KPM's trade
21	secrets as including but not being limited
22	to datasets, software tools, technical
23	knowledge and customer information; is that
24	correct?
25	A. Yes.

	Page 32
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	Q. Can you explain what those
3	datasets are?
4	A. For this particular discussion,
5	datasets would include various items, one
6	it would be datasets of any technical
7	information during development, statistical
8	data collected on system performance,
9	operation of system underlying algorithms
10	that are used inside of the system and how
11	they performed, technical documentation,
12	verification and validation of system
13	performance, hardware performance.
14	Studies, data collection around
15	hardware components, replacement
16	components, design and test experiments
17	that were completed with hardware.
18	Datasets would also include the
19	work product of our technical account
20	managers, application specialists,
21	chemometricians, scientists that were
22	related to any products measured, samples
23	collected, samples analyzed.
24	The process for analyzing the
25	samples, process for determining the best

```
Page 33
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    methods for sample preparation, sample
3
    delivery to the spectrometer or systems,
    results of the data, the value of the data,
4
5
    how it connected to the system performance.
               Those datasets in their direct
6
7
    connection to product output, what we call
8
    constituents, a calculation of the
9
    constituents, the effect of the
10
    constituents with regards to any and all
11
    variables that could be determined during
12
    testing, including scans of product and
13
    sample supplied to Unity, KPM, as part of a
14
    development or test of applications or
15
    calibrations in part with customers,
16
    representatives, agents, and internal
17
    discovery.
18
               The digestion of that data,
19
    signal processing of that data, the
20
    preconditioning of that data, the
    connection of how that data relates to the
21
22
    end constituent products.
23
               The formation of that data into
24
    multiple sets of data tied to calibrations,
25
    calibration matrices to specific products
```

```
Page 34
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    and the delivery of those through the
3
    mathematical calculations to create a
4
    calibration or a product and output that
5
    you would run under our SpectraStar NIR
6
    systems.
 7
               That's quite a long list.
         Q.
 8
               But the paragraph in question
9
    accuses defendants of having wrongfully
10
    misappropriated, disclosed and/or used
11
    Plaintiff's proprietary and confidential
12
    information and trade secrets.
13
               Are you contending that the
14
    defendants have misappropriated that entire
15
    laundry list of trade secrets?
16
               It's still under discovery.
         Α.
17
         Q.
               What are the software tools?
18
               As part of our business, we have
         A.
19
    applications that we develop, particularly
20
    in this would be UScan or UCalibrate or
21
    ULock, as well, these are our main
22
    applications that run with our system.
23
               We have several scripts, VB
24
    code, Excel-driven VB manipulations, Excel
25
    sheets for service and maintenance.
```

	Page 35
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	There are these tools that are
3	used that have been created for support of
4	our products.
5	Q. Which of those tools does KPM's
6	customers use?
7	A. KPM customers would use UScan
8	and UCalibrate.
9	Q. Can you explain what UScan does?
10	A. UScan is the application that
11	runs on the embedded computer inside of a
12	SpectraStar XT. UScan is it takes the
13	data from the internal hardware and does
14	all of the calibration processing, data
15	management, the graphical user interface
16	presentation to a user and does the data
17	management for running tests on the
18	SpectraStar.
19	Q. And can you explain UCalibrate?
20	A. So UCal, different than
21	UCalibrate, UCal is a tool that is sold for
22	customers to use to create calibrations.
23	It creates an output that is then used by
2 4	UScan to run those created calibrations.
25	Q. How do you know that Blue Sun

```
Page 36
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    repairs, calibrates and monitors KPM NIR
3
    analyzers using KPM datasets, calibration
    model sets or software tools?
4
 5
                MR. MAGEE: Objection.
 6
                You can answer.
 7
                The materials that have been
         Α.
8
    provided as part of this Verified Complaint
9
    go through several different cases of seen
10
    usage of our datasets.
11
                Can you be specific and explain
         0.
12
    what those seen usages are?
13
         Α.
                If we look at the complaint
14
    starting at paragraph 68, subsequently
15
    through 68 through at least 80, discusses
16
    in-depth the use of one specific example of
17
    a calibration dataset that was shown to be
18
    used by Blue Sun Scientific on their
19
    website. This directly...
20
               You're referring to the
         0.
21
    application now; correct?
22
         Α.
               Correct.
23
               Are there any other examples of
         0.
24
    Blue Sun repairing, calibrating or
25
    monitoring KPM NIR analyzers using KPM
```

```
Page 37
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    datasets, calibration model sets or
3
    software tools?
 4
                I'm sorry, Mr. Olson, I heard
 5
    something and I'm not sure what it was?
                For the record, can you verify?
 6
 7
                I've not made a response.
 8
    still reviewing the complaint to find the
9
    specific item I was looking to talk about.
10
                Can you restate the specific
11
    question again too, please?
12
                Are there any other examples,
         Q.
13
    aside from the one application in the
14
    complaint, that you know of in which Blue
15
    Sun repaired, calibrated or monitored KPM
16
    NIR analyzers using KPM datasets,
17
    calibration model sets or software tools?
                In the Verified Complaint, we
18
         Α.
19
    discuss in paragraph 44 of the usage at
20
    Post Foods in Battle Creek, where software
21
    tools were used and possibly datasets.
22
         Q.
               Paragraph 44 describes how Mr.
23
    Gajewski traveled to Battle Creek, Michigan
24
    to service a NIR spectrometer.
25
                It does not allege that he used
```

```
Page 38
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    KPM datasets.
 3
         Α.
               Correct, it does not. The post
4
    documentation -- and you asked about
5
    software tools as well.
               Yes. Do you contend that when
 6
7
    he went to Battle Creek, Michigan, he used
    KPM datasets or software tools?
8
9
         Α.
               Yes.
10
         Q.
               How do you know that?
11
               The documentation that we had
         A.
    from Mr. Gajewski's computer, it showed
12
13
    copies of KPM software tools that were
14
    relabeled "Blue Sun Scientific."
15
               What KPM software tools were
         Q.
16
    relabeled "Blue Sun Scientific"?
17
               It was a series of PM checklist
         A .
    tools and a service reporting tool.
18
19
         Q.
               What is the PM checklist tool?
20
               It is a document and checklist
         A.
21
    for performing maintenance on a
22
    SpectraStar. Depending upon the version,
    there are different: XT, XL, 2400. There
23
24
    are several different versions of these.
25
               Are you saying these are
         Q.
```

```
Page 39
1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2
    forms --
                These are tools, yes, they are a
3
         A .
    form.
4
5
                (Reporter clarification.)
    BY MR. CRAIG:
6
7
               Mr. Olson, you used the word
         Q.
    tool. Are you referring to forms?
8
               Yes, I would consider "forms"
9
         A .
10
    tools.
11
               Do you contend that KPM has a
         Q.
12
    trade secret proprietary interest in these
    forms?
13
14
         A.
               Yes.
15
               What efforts does KPM make to
         Q.
16
    keep these forms secret?
17
         Α.
                These forms are used internally
    by KPM employees and certified
18
19
    distributors.
20
         Q. Are KPM employees and certified
21
    distributors warned not to use these forms
22
    externally?
23
                KPM employees/distributors are
24
    all warned about trade secrets, tools,
25
    documents, in several...
```

```
Page 104
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    theft of a trade secret?
 3
                MR. MAGEE: Objection.
                Calls for a legal conclusion.
 4
 5
                MR. CRAIG: Actually, that's a
          factual conclusion.
 6
 7
                Numerous times in the
8
         complaint -- we can go back and read
9
         some of these paragraphs -- Mr. Olson
10
         accuses Ms. Glenister and the other
11
         individuals of unlawfully running away
12
         with trade secrets and using them to
13
         usurp customers.
14
                MR. MAGEE: I'm standing by my
15
         objection.
16
    BY MR. CRAIG:
17
               Mr. Olson, do you consider
18
    Ms. Glenister's customer relationships to
    be the property of KPM?
19
20
         A.
               Yes.
21
               What makes them the property of
         Q.
22
    KPM?
23
                Employees of KPM, when they are
         A.
    working with our customers, are building
24
25
    information and knowledge about them, which
```

	Page 105
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	is part of how KPM does business.
3	Understanding our customers' needs, what
4	they are requiring for hardware and units.
5	It's all part of the protected
6	materials for selling our unique offering.
7	Q. Is that true of all of KPM sales
8	representatives?
9	A. That may not be true for all of
10	KPM employees globally.
11	Q. What's the difference?
12	A. We have several different
13	business units that have different
14	structures for selling and want Unity
15	Scientific or KPM North America.
16	Q. When a sales representative in
17	America leaves KPM, how long is that
18	customer relationship KPM's property?
19	MR. MAGEE: Objection.
2 0	A. The terms should be consistent
21	with the employment agreements made prior
22	to employment in post-employment, based
23	upon contracts that are signed and NDAs.
2 4	Q. Okay.
25	(Exhibit 8 for

	Page 186
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	preparation under the 30B, I believe is the
3	correct term, the areas for discovery.
4	And I spent time evaluating
5	those and trying to get as best the
6	information I could historically.
7	Q. The complaint in this case was
8	filed on April 5, 2021; correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And in the verification at the
11	end of that complaint, you, as the
12	verifying person, stated under the pains of
13	perjury that the facts in the verifying
14	complaint are true and accurate to the best
15	of your knowledge; right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What fact or facts did KPM have
18	as of April 5, 2021 to support the claim
19	that Rachael Glenister copied, disclosed or
20	used a trade secret of KPM?
21	A. In the verified complaint, we
22	spoke about those opportunities, the
23	customer connections that Rachael Glenister
24	had. We then have an understanding of our
25	information and belief that were then

	Page 187
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	converted to Blue Sun Scientific orders.
3	Q. What trade secrets did Rachael
4	Glenister take or use?
5	A. The access to the customer
6	information, customer list, contact
7	information for the customer, understanding
8	of the application itself could all be
9	things.
10	Q. I didn't ask you about what
11	could be.
12	As of April 5, 2021, what fact
13	did KPM have to claim that Rachael
14	Glenister took a trade secret from KPM?
15	MR. MAGEE: Objection.
16	Asked and answered.
17	A. William, are you waiting for me
18	for an answer?
19	Q. I am.
2 0	A. I believe I've already answered
21	the question.
22	Q. What facts did KPM have as of
2 3	April 5, 2021 that Ms. Glenister
2 4	misappropriated trade secrets of KPM?
25	A. As already stated in the

```
Page 192
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
         Α.
                The possession of a competitive
    e-mail address, the use of the Zoho tool,
 3
    e-mail correspondence.
 4
 5
                Anything else?
          Q.
                I believe that was all of the
 6
         Α.
 7
    time.
 8
                In those items you just
          Q.
 9
    mentioned, what trade secret did Mr.
10
    Israelson, in fact, use or take?
11
                MR. MAGEE: Objection.
12
         Α.
                It is not clear.
13
          Q.
                You're not aware of any;
14
    correct?
15
         Α.
                I'm not aware of any.
16
                What about Mr. Eilert, what fact
          0.
17
    or facts did KPM have as of April 5, 2021
    that Mr. Eilert either used or
18
19
    misappropriated a KPM trade secret.
20
               I did not state in the
         Α.
21
    complaint. There was nothing referenced in
22
    the complaint. Other than e-mails that had
23
    been shared between -- as referenced in,
24
    what, paragraph 42, conversations about UC
25
    San Diego Medical Center in connection to
```

```
Page 193
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
 2
    the human milk application.
3
               In that e-mail that you just
         Q.
4
    referenced, is there any specific trade
5
    secret that's either being used or
    misappropriated by Mr. Eilert?
6
7
                Sharing about the information
    about a customer to an e-mail address
8
9
    outside of the company, however, being sent
10
    to a person who is still an employee of the
11
    company.
12
         Q.
               Is that your answer?
13
         A .
               Yes.
               So what is the trade secret
14
         0.
15
    that's being used there?
16
               There was some discussion about
17
    the specifics around the customer
18
    engagement, that UC San Diego Medical
19
    Center application. It's internal to KPM
20
    with contact and connection to an external
21
    customer. That would be a violation of our
22
    agreements and IP for sharing that
23
    information external of the business.
24
         Q.
               Okay. Are you saying that that
25
    is a misappropriation of the trade secret?
```

	Page 194
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	A. Yes, it is.
3	Q. Aside from that, does KPM have
4	any other evidence that Mr. Eilert either
5	used or misappropriated a trade secret?
6	MR. MAGEE: Objection.
7	A. Not that I can recall.
8	Q. You're here testifying for KPM
9	so you don't have a chance to do this
10	again. So either KPM knows or does not,
11	and you need to give an answer for the
12	company.
13	A. As of the 5th, no, we do not.
14	Q. How about Michelle Gajewski,
15	what fact or facts did KPM have as of
16	April 5, 2021 that she either used or
17	misappropriated a KPM trade secret?
18	A. I would state that she was
19	involved in the same e-mail correspondence
20	referenced in paragraph 42, Arnold Eilert,
21	regarding the UC San Diego Medical Center.
22	She, in fact, resides with Rob
23	Gajewski and understands the actions that
2 4	he had been taking were done, work he had
25	completed.

```
Page 205
 1
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
    Sun is not aware of any confidential
 2
    information that Mr. Lucas has disclosed to
 3
 4
    Blue Sun?
 5
                MR. MAGEE: Objection.
                I couldn't speak to what Blue
 6
 7
    Sun knows about what Blue Sun had provided
    to itself.
 8
 9
         Q.
                I'm not asking that.
                I'm asking you what Mr. Lucas
10
    disclosed, what KPM confidential
11
12
    information Mr. Lucas disclosed to Blue
13
    Sun?
14
         Α.
               That was not your question, sir.
15
                It's stated in the article, in
16
    the complaint. Mr. Lucas was involved in
17
    some e-mails, correspondence, connection
    with KPM customers about KPM products and
18
19
    potential future opportunities.
20
               What KPM confidential
         0.
    information did he disclose in those
21
22
    communications?
23
                MR. MAGEE: Objection.
24
               Trade secrets of customer
         Α.
25
    accounts, service requests. It was also
```

	Page 206
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ERIC OLSON
2	reference to e-mails we had seen about
3	replacement parts in connection with
4	distributors, Pro Analytics Australia being
5	one, that were involved with Mr. Lucas.
6	These reps, agents with
7	discussion about our business, how we work
8	with customers would all be trade secrets.
9	Q. What specific conversations are
10	you talking about now?
11	A. If we go to paragraph 50 of the
12	complaint, they discuss about e-mails of
13	Pro Analytics limited, spare parts that
14	were ordered, replacement components.
15	It's just a version of customer
16	sales, representative sales to Blue Sun.
17	Q. I don't see any confidential KPM
18	information discussed in paragraph 50.
19	Can you identify any?
2 0	A. Can we share Exhibit 15?
21	Q. I'm looking at 15.
22	A. I am not, though. I don't know
23	if it's in the tool to share about not
2 4	Exhibit 15 of the deposition, I'm sorry.
25	Exhibit 15 of the complaint.